Improved Protein-Ligand Binding with DINC Web Server

Hall-Swan S¹, Devaurs D², Kavraki L²

Computational Molecular Docking

- Predict protein-ligand binding modes
- Fast and cheap screening before experimentally testing protein-ligand binding
- Most tools designed for small ligands with 12 or fewer rotational bonds [1]

Target

Ligand



Scoring: Calculates the binding energy and ranks the conformations

DINC – Docking INCrementally

Designed to solve problems with docking larger ligands



Fig 1. showing the incremental docking method of DINC.

 Current version of DINC uses AutoDock to dock each fragment

Problem:

Previous work has shows DINC to be more efficient than AutoDock alone, but not always more accurate when it comes to large peptides [2]

References

[1]Dhanik, A., & Kavraki, L. E. (2012). Protein-Ligand Interactions: Computational Docking. *ELS*. doi:10.1002/9780470015902.a0004105.pub2

[2] Dhanik, A., Mcmurray, J. S., & Kavraki, L. E. (2013). DINC: A new AutoDock-based protocol for docking large ligands. BMC Structural Biology, 13(Suppl 1). doi:10.1186/1472-6807-13-s1-s11

kavrakilab.org













Improving DINC to dock large peptides

Method 1: DINC-Vina

Replace AutoDock with Vina, a potentially more powerful tool





Original crystal structure in blue, docked ligand in green

Method 2: DINC-Hybrid

Implement consensus scoring with scoring functions from AutoDock and Vina

- Uses AutoDock to sample and score
- Rescores output binding modes with Vina
- Ranks conformations by binding energy based on each scoring function, then sums the ranks



DINC-Hybrid output Binding Energy: -10.51 kcal/mol (AutoDock) or -15.24 kcal/mol (Vina) all atom RMSD: 1.98Å

Original crystal structure in blue, docked ligand in green





Binding Energy: -12.20 kcal/mol all atom RMSD: 4.30Å

DINC-Vina output

Binding Energy: -13.30 kcal/mol all atom RMSD: 3.15Å

DINC with AutoDock

Further testing

ligands to assess performance

Application to Cancer Immunotherapy

Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) [2]

- immune response
- evaluating potential immunotherapy targets



Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the DREU program, which is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number 1246649. Also this research was indirectly sponsored (not funded) by the CPRIT Summer Undergraduate Program in Computational Cancer Biology, training grant award RP 170593 from the Cancer Prevention & Research Institute of Texas (CPRIT).

Evaluations

The two versions of DINC with Vina are more accurate than

Benchmarking of each version of DINC with many more